|   | Application No.               | Applicant(s)                   |
|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary  | 10/719,678                    | FOKKEN ET AL.                  |
|   | Examiner                      | Art Unit                       |
|   | Joseph D. Anthony             | 1714                           |
| All Participants:   | Status of Application:        |                                |
| (1) <u>Joseph D. Anthony</u> .  | (3)                           |                                |
| (2) <u>Ryan W. Cagle</u> .  | (4)                           |                                |
| Date of Interview: <u>14 March 2005</u>   | Time:                         |                                |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:  | nt's representative)          |                                |
| Part I.   |                               |                                |
| Rejection(s) discussed:   |                               |                                |
|   |                               |                                |
| Claims discussed:   |                               |                                |
| Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. |                               |                                |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate re-   | ecord of the substance of the | interview, since the interview |
| did not result in resolution of all focus. A brief summary  | by the examiner appears in F  | Part II above.                 |
|   |                               |                                |
|   |                               |                                |
|   |                               |                                |
|   |                               |                                |
|   | 1                             |                                |
| Oka Author 2/14/00  |                               |                                |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)  |                               |                                |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03) Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Cagle called the examiner to see if the examiner had considered the supplemental IDS filed 12/21/04. The examiner said it has been considered and the signed an initialed copy of the Form-1449 will be sent to applicant's representative. Please note that 3 of the cited references were not considered by the examiner since they had no English language abstract or translation. The JP 46001863 B4 reference was also not considered because neither a copy of it nor any English language abstract or translation was filed with the IDS...